



POWER CONVERSION EFFICIENCY IN THIN FILM SOLAR CELL: A REVIEW

HO SOONMIN*

Centre for Green Chemistry and Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Technology,
Engineering and Mathematics, INTI International University,
PUTRA NILAI, 71800, MALAYSIA

ABSTRACT

This review paper describes the preparation of thin films using various deposition methods such as vacuum based method and solution based deposition technique. The obtained thin films could be applied in solar cell due to many advantages including direct band gap between 1-2 eV and high absorption coefficient value. In this work, the construction and performance behaviors of solar cell were fabricated and discussed.

Key words: Thin films, Dye sensitized solar cells, Semiconductor, Band gap, Power conversion efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

Solar cell is an electrical device that can use sunlight to produce electricity. As we know that, the thin film solar cell has been more economical¹⁻⁵ than first generation conventional crystalline silicon technology. Additionally, they display many advantages including flexible, lower in weight and have less drag. This type of solar cell is grouped into second generation photovoltaic cells and generally the thickness of the films varies from nanometer to micrometers.

Up-to-date, thin films have been prepared using various deposition techniques as reported by many researchers. Generally, we could obtain high power conversion efficiency for the films prepared using expensive vacuum based deposition method. However, in order to lower the cost of solar cell fabrication, the solution-based deposition method was selected to prepare absorber materials. In this work, power conversion efficiency of fabricated solar

* Author for correspondence; E-mail: soonmin.ho@newinti.edu.my; Ph.: + 6067982000

cell was tested and discussed. This test is defined as the ratio of power produced by the fabricated solar cell to the incident sunlight energy into the cell per time.

Literature survey

Potlog et al.⁶ presented the photovoltaic characteristics of ZnSe/CdTe, CdS/CdTe/ and ZnTe/CdTe thin films heterojunction solar cell. These cells were produced by close space sublimation on transparent conductive oxide-coated glass. The test was carried out at the room temperature under illumination of 100 mW/cm². The results indicate the conversion efficient about 4.7%, 9.9% and 1.3% for ZnSe/CdTe, CdS/CdTe/ and ZnTe/CdTe thin films heterojunction solar cell, respectively.

Ayaka et al.⁷ reported the Cu₂SnS₃ films using co-evaporation deposition technique and under annealing process. Then, solar cells were fabricated and efficiency was evaluated under various copper to tin compositional ratios. They found that the films prepared with a slightly tin rich composition show the largest grain size as indicated in scanning electron microscopy analysis. Lastly, they claim that power conversion efficiency of 4.29% could be obtained in the solar cell fabricated with Cu/Sn ratios of 1.87.

The influence of film thickness on the performance of copper indium gallium selenide (CIGS) solar cell was studied by Shamim et al.⁸ They conclude that the efficiency is increasing with the thickness of absorber layer. Furthermore, they explain that the as the thickness increases, the recombination probability of the photon generated carriers with back contact is reduced. The efficiency of 19.75% for ZnO: Al/i-ZnO/CdS/CIGS based thin films solar cells have been observed in their research results. On the other hand, Walker et al.⁹ prepared copper indium gallium diselenium using vacuum deposition technique. They point out that efficiency of films is low in the absence of secondary copper selenide phase. Meanwhile, the production of 4.3% total area power conversion efficiency was obtained in the presence of cupric selenide nanoparticles.

The Cu₂S and CdS films were synthesized using spin coating technique by Rohit¹⁰. The UV-Visible spectroscopy measurements were investigated to find the band gap value for Cu₂S (2.65 eV) and CdS (4.4 eV), respectively. Lastly, he revealed that the efficiency of thin films approached around 10.9%.

Many researchers find that there are some problems happened in cadmium telluride thin films such as stability and degradation in efficiency. Wagah et al.¹¹ described the

preparation of cadmium sulfide and cadmium telluride thin films using thermal evaporation method. Following that, CdS/CdTe solar cell was designed and tested. In their experiment, they reported that the tunnel diode of CdTe/CdS was deposited in the back of the cell, finally energy conversion efficiency was improved by more than 7%.

Tin sulphide thin films can absorb visible light strongly and they have potential to be good absorber materials. Jaramillo et al.¹² have demonstrated new certified record power conversion efficiencies of 4.36 % and 3.88% by using atomic layer deposition and thermal evaporation method, respectively.

Zinc sulfide thin films were prepared from the chemical bath contained ZnSO₄, thiourea and ammonia as reported by Ji et al.¹³ The photovoltaic behaviors of the AZO/ZnS/textured p-Si heterojunction solar cells were investigated under different annealing temperatures ranging from 150 to 300°C. They suggest that the best annealing temperature was 250°C. The power conversion efficiency improved from 0.89% to 3.66% as the annealing temperature was increased from 150 to 250°C.

The ITO/CuInS₂/Al₂O₃/(CH₃NH₃)PbI₃/Ag solar cell was fabricated for the first time by Chen et al.¹⁴ The influence of the thickness of CuInS₂ films on the solar cell was studied. They reveal that too thick CuInS₂ films decrease the amount of light absorbed by the (CH₃NH₃)PbI₃ films. As results, degradation of short circuit current density (J_{sc}) and fill factor values could be observed. In the other case, the obtain films indicate the incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectra response in the almost entire wavelength region from 370 to 1000 nm. Lastly, they claim that an optimum power conversion efficiency of up to 5.3% could be reached as shown in their experiment.

Goto et al.¹⁵ analyzed the TCO/CdS/CuInS₂/CuGaS₂ thin films solar cell in their experiment. Firstly, the Ga-Cu stacked precursor layer with a copper to indium ratio of 1. Secondly, 240 nm films were vacuum evaporated onto Molybdenum coated soda lime glass substrate. Thirdly, the films were sulfurized in an argon and hydrogen sulfide mixture gas at 530°C. In the next step, CuGaS₂ layer was treated in KCN solution. The Cu-In stacked precursor layer was deposited on this surface. Finally, 13% efficiency cell was observed in their results.

Cu₂ZnSnS₄ thin films solar cells with 8.4% power conversion efficiency were successfully prepared using thermal evaporation by Shin et al.¹⁶ In their experiment, 600 nm

film was deposited at 150°C and subsequent short (5 mins), but high temperature (570°C). They are proud to announce that these are the highest efficiencies reported for the $\text{Cu}_2\text{ZnSnS}_4$ absorber using any deposition method. On the other hand, many scientists have successfully designed thin films solar cell according to their experiment results. The power conversion efficiency of these films are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Power conversion efficiency for $\text{Cu}_2\text{ZnSnS}_4$ thin film solar cells

Researcher (s)	Power conversion efficiency (%)
Kazuo et al. ¹⁷	5.74
Hironori et al. ¹⁸	2.62
Wang et al. ¹⁹	6.8
Schubert et al. ²⁰	4.1
Chet et al. ²¹	0.23
Jonathan et al. ²²	3.2
Ennaoui et al. ²³	3.4
Sawanta et al. ²⁴	0.396
Tsukasa et al. ²⁵	6.03
Shinde et al. ²⁶	0.12

Currently, many scientists reported the preparation and characterization of binary and ternary thin films (Table 2) in solar cell application in their research findings.

Table 2: Binary and ternary chalcogenide thin films

Binary chalcogenide thin films
Zinc sulfide ²⁷
Bismuth sulfide ²⁸
Zinc selenide ²⁹
Indium sulfide ³⁰
Copper sulfide ³¹

Cont...

Binary chalcogenide thin films

Cadmium sulfide³²Lead selenide³³Antimony sulfide³⁴Tin sulfide³⁵Lead sulphide³⁶Nickel sulfide³⁷Manganese sulfide³⁸Iron sulfide³⁹

Ternary chalcogenide thin films

 $Zn_xCd_{1-x}S$ ⁴⁰ Cu_4SnS_4 ⁴¹ $ZnIn_2Se_4$ ⁴² $CuInSe_2$ ⁴³ $SbCuS$ ⁴⁴ $Ni_3Pb_2S_2$ ⁴⁵ $(Cd,Bi)S$ ⁴⁶ $Pb_{1-x}Fe_xS$ ⁴⁷ $Pb_{1-x}Mn_xS$ ⁴⁸ $CdZnSe$ ⁴⁹ $AgInS_2$ ⁵⁰

The general properties of thin films have been reported in published articles. The main drawback of thin film solar cells is lower power conversion efficiency. Because of these films are poorly crystalline, leading to poor charge carrier transport. Therefore, researchers suggest that device efficiencies of 3% are too low for commercialization and need to be improved.

CONCLUSION

Thin films have been prepared using expensive vacuum based method or cheaper solution based deposition technique. There are various chalcogenide thin films are being examined in order to produce good absorber materials in solar cell devices with very low

production cost. In future, research and development have been carried out intensively in order to enhance power conversion efficiency of solar cell.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Inti International University is gratefully acknowledged for the financial support of this work.

REFERENCES

1. N. A. Okereke and A. J. Ekpunobi, *J. Non-Oxide Glasses*, **3**, 31 (2011).
2. E. H. Christopher, J. F. Dennis and R. B. Andrew, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **15**, DOI: 10.1039/c3cp50435b (2013).
3. M. Sabaghi, A. Majdababi, S. Marjani and S. Khosroabadi, *Oriental. J. Chem.*, **31**, 891 (2015).
4. F. Haque, K. S. Rahman, M. A. Islam, M. J. Rashid, M. Akhtaruzzaman, M. M. Alam, Z. A. Alothman, K. Sopian and N. Amin, *Chalcogenide Lett.*, **11**, 189 (2014).
5. F. Ozutok, K. Erturk and V. Bilgin, *Acta Phys. Pol. A*, **121**, 221 (2012).
6. T. Potlog, N. Spalatu, V. Fedorov and N. Maticiuc, *Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, 2011 37th IEEE*, 10.1109/PVSC.2011.6186211 (2011).
7. K. Ayaka, T. Kotoba, C. Kotaro, K. Hironori and A. Hideaki, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, **54**, doi: 10.7567/JJAP.54.08KC06 (2015).
8. S. M. Shamim, S. Islam, M. F. Huq and M. A. A. Jobair, *Eur. Sci. J.*, **11**, 156 (2015).
9. B. Walker and R. Agrawal, *Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, 2012 38th IEEE*. DOI: 10.1109/PVSC.2012.6318141 (2010).
10. S. Rohit, *IOSR J. Electr. Electron. Eng.*, **2**, 47 (2012).
11. F. M. Wagah, D. Omar and A. Munther, *Circuits Syst.*, **3**, 230 (2012).
12. R. Jaramillo, V. Steinmann, C. Yang, K. Hartman, R. Chakraborty, J. R. Poindexter, M. L. Castillo, R. Gordon and T. Buonassisi, *J. Vis. Exp.*, **99**, doi:10.3791/52705 (2015).
13. L. W. Ji, Y. J. Hsiao, I. T. Tang, T. H. Meen, C. H. Liu and J. K. Tsai, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, **8**, 470 (2013).

14. C. Chen, C. Li, F. Li, F. Wu, F. Tan, Y. Zhai and W. Zhang, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, **9**, 457 (2014).
15. H. Goto, Y. Hashimoto and K. Ito, *Thin Solid Films*, **451-452**, 552 (2004).
16. B. Shin, O. Gunawan, Y. Zhu, N. A. Bojarczuk, S. J. Chey and S. Guha, *Prog. Photovoltaics Res. Appl.*, **21**, 72 (2013).
17. J. Kazuo, K. Ryoichi, K. Tsuyoshi, Y. Satoru, S. M. Win, A. Hideaki, O. Koichiro and K. Hironori, *Thin Solid Films*, **515**, 5997 (2007).
18. K. Hironori, S. Kotoe, W. Tsukasa, S. Hiroyuki, K. Tomomi and M. Shinsuke, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, **65**, 141 (2001).
19. K. Wang, O. Gunawan, T. Todorov, B. Shin, S. J. Chey, N. A. Bojarczuk, D. Mitzi and S. Guha, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **97**, doi.org/10.1063/1.3499284 (2010).
20. B. Schubert, B. Marsen, S. Cinque, T. Unold, R. Klenk, S. Schorr and H. Schock, *Prog. Photovoltaics*, **19**, 93 (2011).
21. S. Chet, G. P. Matthew, A. Vahid, G. Brian, K. Bonil and A. K. Brian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **131**, 12554 (2009).
22. J. S. Jonathan, M. B. Dominik and J. D. Philip, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, **646**, 52 (2010).
23. A. Ennaoui, M. L. Steiner, A. Weber, D. Abou-Ras, I. Kotschau, H. W. Schock, R. Schurr, A. Holzinger, S. Jost, R. Hock, T. Vob, J. Schulze and A. Kirbs, *Thin Solid Films*, **517**, 2511 (2009).
24. S. M. Sawanta, S. S. Pravin, A. B. Chirayath, N. B. Popatrao, W. O. Young and S. P. Pramod, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, **73**, 735 (2012).
25. W. Tsukasa, S. Tomokazu, T. Shin, F. Tatsuo, M. Tomoyoshi, J. Kazuo and K. Hironori, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **22**, 4021 (2012).
26. N. M. Shinde, D. P. Dubal, D. S. Dhawale, C. D. Lokhande, J. H. Kim and J. H. Moon, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, **47**, 302 (2012).
27. K. Anuar, R. Nani and S. M. Ho, *Int. J. Adv. Eng. Sci. Technol.*, **7**, 169 (2011).
28. A. U. Ubale, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, **121**, 555 (2010).
29. K. Anuar, S. M. Ho, W. T. Tan, Kelvin, N. Saravanan, *Eur. J. Appl. Sci.*, **3**, 75 (2011).

30. B. Asenjo, C. Guilln, A. M. Chaparro, E. Saucedo, V. Bermudez, D. Lincot, J. Herrero and M. T. Gutierrez, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids.*, **71**, 1629 (2010).
31. K. Anuar, S. M. Ho, W. T. Tee, K. S. Lim and N. Saravanan, *Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng. Technol.*, **3**, 513 (2011).
32. F. Caballero-Briones, O. Calzadilla, F. Chale-Lara, V. Rejonand J. L. Pena, *Chalcogenide Lett.*, **12**, 137 (2015).
33. K. Anuar, S. M. Ho and N. Saravanan, *Turk. J. Sci. Technol.*, **6**, 17 (2011).
34. F. I. Ezema, A. B. C. Ekwealor, P. U. Asogwa, P. E. Ugwuoke, C. Chigbo and R. U. Osuji, *Turk. J. Phys.*, **31**, 205 (2007).
35. K. Anuar, S. M. Ho, S. Atan and M. J. Haron, *Res. J. Chem. Environ.*, **15**, 45 (2011).
36. L. Raniero, C. L. Ferreira, L. R. Cruz, A. L. Pintoand R. M. Alves, *Physica B: Condensed Matter.*, **405**, 1283 (2010).
37. K. Anuar, S. M. Ho, W. T. Tan and C. F. Ngai, *Am. J. Appl. Sci.*, **8**, 359 (2011).
38. K. Anuar and S. M. Ho, *Int. J. Chem. Res.*, **1**, 1 (2010).
39. K. Anuar, S. M. Ho, S. Atan and N. Saravanan, *Stud. U. Babes Bol. Chem.*, **55**, 5 (2010).
40. W. C. Song and J. H. Lee, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.*, **54**, 1660 (2009).
41. K. Anuar, K. Zulkefly, S. Atan, W. T. Tan, S. M. Ho and N. Saravanan, *Indian J. Eng. Mater. Sci.*, **17**, 295 (2010).
42. P. Babu, M. V. Reddy, N. Revathiand K. T. R. Reddy, *J. Nano- Electron. Phys.*, **3**, 85 (2011).
43. R. H. Bari, L. A. Patil, P. S. Sonawane, M. D. Mahanubhav, V. R. Patil and P. K. Khanna, *Mater. Lett.*, **61**, 2058 (2007).
44. C. Ekuma, M. Nnabuchi, A. Nwabueze and I. Owate, *Ceram. Trans.*, **222**, 243 (2010).
45. S. M. Ho, *Oriental J. Chem.*, **30**, 1009 (2014).
46. S. S. D. Mishra, K. K. Saini, C. Kant and M. Pal, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, **146**, 324 (2014).
47. R. K. Joshi, G. V. Subbaraju and R. Sharma, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, **239**, 1 (2004).

48. R. K. Joshi, P. Kumar, H. K. Sehgal and A. Kanjilal, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **153**, C707 (2006).
49. S. R. Deo, A. K. Singh, L. Deshmukh, L. J. Paliwal and R. Adhikari, *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.*, **18**, 327 (2014).
50. L. H. Lin, C. C. Wu, C. H. Lai and T. C. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, **20**, 4475 (2008).

Accepted : 11.11.2015