Physicochemical Characteristics of Soil Supporting to the Growth of Wheat, Jowar and Maize Crop Plants from Baramati Tehsil Dist. Pune, Maharashtra, India

Shete RS[1*], Torane SN[2], Patil VS[2], Chavan SJ[2] and Mali BS[2]

[1]Department of Biotechnology, Shri Sant Damaji Mahavidyalaya, Solapur, Maharashtra, India

[2]Department of Botany, Tuljaram Chaturchand College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Pune, Maharashtra, India

*

Corresponding author: Shete RS, Department of Biotechnology, Shri Sant Damaji Mahavidyalaya, Solapur, Maharashtra, India, Tel: 9834637453; E-mail: sheteruturaj95@gmail.com

Abstract

The soil test based nutrient management has emerged as a key issue in efforts to increase agriculture productivity. In the present investigation study focus on the physicochemical analysis of a soil from crop fields in Baramati Tehsil region. Total 30 soil samples collected from 15 sites during December 2020 to February 2021. Collected soil samples from crop fields analyzed for parameters like soil pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Organic Carbon (OC), available Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Sodium (Na), Calcium Carbonate ($CaCO_3$) and micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn). All site soil samples in crop fields pH shows moderately alkaline to strong alkaline. Maximum site crop field's soil samples show average electrical conductivity some sites soil samples show more than average electrical conductivity. Organic carbon fluctuates ranges from $\geq 1.00 \leq 0.81$-$1.00 \leq 0.51$-$0.80 \leq 0.41$-$0.50 \leq 0.21$ to 0.40. Nitrogen content shows ≥ 140-$280 \geq 140$, Phosphorus content shows ≤ 8-$14, \leq 7.00$, kg/hector Potassium content ≥ 300 kg/hector shows in all sites and abundant in amount. All sites crop field's soils shows luxuriant amount of sodium content. $CaCO_3$ content shows medium to abundance in quantity in all sites. In case of micronutrients analysis shows as low ranges of Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu in ppm all sites crop field's soil samples. In modern agriculture excess use of chemical fertilizers affects the pH, EC, organic carbon, N, P, K, Na and $CaCO_3$. More than average 1.00 electrical conductivity which are harmful for germination. Due to overdose of chemical fertilizers its affects soil fertility resulted to decreases crop yields production.

Keywords: Crop fields; Parameters; Physicochemical analysis; Chemical fertilizers; Agriculture

Introduction

Soil is a media for the plants. The soil physical, chemical and biological properties affect the plant growth. Soil management practices also greatly affect the soil organic matter and soil fertility. Soil is a naturally occurring, unconsolidated covering on the earth surface. Soil is a mixture of mineral and organic constituents that are solid, gaseous and aqueous states. The soil a complex organization being made up of some six constituents is namely as inorganic matter, soil moisture, organic matter, soil organism, soil air and soil solution [1]. Roughly, the soil contains 50-60% mineral matter, 25-35% water, 15-25% air and small percentage of organic matter. Soil is an important system of the terrestrial ecosystem. Quality of the soil depends on its physical properties (pH, moisture content, texture, colour and organic substance content etc.) and the chemical properties are (nitrate, nitrogen, organic matter content, nitrite, cation exchange capacity and phosphate phosphorous etc.). Soils are the main terrestrial reservoir of carbon and nutrients, which determine soil fertility, plant growth and ecosystem sustainability and thus soils are crucial for human wellbeing [2].

The soil test based nutrient management has emerged as a key issue in efforts to increase agriculture productivity. In recent years agriculture development has been changed from conventional and traditional farming method too more intensive practices using chemical fertilizers and pesticides with irrigation facilities. Continuous use of chemical fertilizers slowly changed soil properties;

ultimately the production in long run is reduced [3]. It has resulted in leaching of chemical into the surface and ground water around study area is although most of the population depends upon agriculture produce such as wheat and Jowar, maize since long back. However, generation after generation the soil supporting to wheat and Jowar, maize is utilized for production with no any scientific analysis. So, this research will add scientific knowledge about soil supporting to wheat and jowar and maize.

Materials and Methods

Study area: Baramati tehsil, belong to western part of Maharashtra. It is belonged to Pune division. Baramati tehsil is the fourth largest city in Pune district. Baramati tehsil lies between 18°04′ to 18°32′ north latitude and 74°26′ to 74°69′ east longitude. It is located at altitude of 550 meter above the sea level [4].

Collections of soil samples: Soil samples are collected December 2020 to February 2021. Each site 2 crop plants soil samples were collected representatively in the depth of soil from different places of the tehsil. During survey Nira and Karha river basin area, water resources like lakes reservoirs, Nira left canal, dry area with less water resources selected. While collecting soil samples the upper layer of vegetation, surface litter, stones stubble if any were cleared away and then layer of soil immediately below (0-20 cm) was collected in sterile polythene bag, noted location site, date of collection, agriculture crop plants (Figure 1). All soil samples bring in laboratory removed debris, stones, grass and making soil samples ready for soil analysis like various parameter [5].


FIG. 1. **Baramati Tehsil study area various sites satellite view.**

Physicochemical properties of soil

pH: The most significant property of soil is its pH level, its effects on all other parameters of soil. Therefore, pH is considered while analyzing any kind of soil [6]. If the pH is less than 6 then it is said to be an acidic soil, the pH ranges from 6-8.5 it's a

normal soil and greater than 8.5 then it is said to be alkaline soil.

Electrical Conductivity (EC): Electrical conductivity is also a very important property of the soil; it is used to check the quality of the soil. It is a measure of ions present in solution [7].

Organic Carbon (OC): Organic matter estimation in the soil can be done by different methods. Loss of weight on ignition can be used as a direct measure of the organic matter contained in the soil. It can also be expressed as the content of organic carbon in the soil. Organic matter/organic carbon can also be estimated by Walkely and Black, 1934.

Nitrogen (N): Total N includes all forms of inorganic N, like NH_4-N, NO_3-N and also NH_2 (Urea) N and the organic N compounds like proteins, amino acids and other derivatives. Depending upon the form of N present in a particular sample, specific method is to be adopted for getting the total nitrogen value. About 80% of the atmosphere is nitrogen gas. Nitrogen gas diffuses into water where it can be "fixed" (converted) by blue green algae to ammonia for algal use [8].

Phosphorus (P): It is one of the most important micronutrients essential for plant growth. Phosphorus most often limits nutrients remains present in plant nuclei and act as energy storage. In these methods, specific colour compounds are formed with the addition of appropriate reagents in the solution, the intensity of which is proportionate to the concentration of the element being estimated [9]. The colour intensity is measured spectrophotometrically.

Sodium and Potassium: Flame photometric method potassium present in the soil is extracted with neutral ammonium acetate of 1 molarity. This is considered as plant available K in the soils. It is estimated with the help of flame photometer. This is a well-accepted method (Table 1).

Micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn): The mean values of the micronutrients determined in the soils followed a decreasing order as Fe>Mn>Zn>Cu. Table 2 micronutrients estimated by using atomic absorption spectroscopy method (Figures 2-9).

TABLE 1: **Physicochemical analysis of soil samples crop plants in study area.**

Nira river sites soil analysis									
Sites	Crop plants	pH	E.C. ds.m^{-1}	O. C %	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K$^+$ Kg/ha	Na^{++} Meq/lit	CaCo$_3$ %
Kambleshwar	Wheat	8.61	0.96	0.69	166.00	6.30	848.00	16.86	16.32
	Jowar	8.36	0.77	0.66	159.00	5.40	365.00	11.04	6.12
Songaon	Wheat	8.36	1.50	0.54	130.00	8.20	499.00	12.71	13.77
	Jowar	8.49	1.16	0.54	130.00	5.19	426.00	14.90	12.24
Korhale (KH)	Wheat	8.41	0.52	0.81	195.00	5.30	1070.00	8.17	15.81
	Maize	8.33	0.45	0.99	238.00	6.19	976.00	8.02	19.89
Karha river sites soil analysis									
Sites	Crop plants	pH	E.C. ds.m^{-1}	O. C %	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K$^+$ Kg/ha	Na^{++} Meq/lit	CaCo$_3$ %
Morgaon	Wheat	8.05	0.97	0.48	115.00	4.10	613.00	5.68	15.30
	Jowar	8.15	0.89	0.63	151.00	4.40	452.00	6.76	13.77
Anjangaon	Wheat	7.91	0.74	1.02	245.00	8.20	2492.00	3.94	15.81
	Jowar	8.34	0.34	0.33	79.53	5.30	874.00	4.94	17.34
Gunawadi	Wheat	8.69	0.85	0.48	115.00	6.20	409.00	8.46	31.95
	Jowar	8.73	0.71	0.49	118.00	4.40	875.00	9.81	29.25
Nira left canal sites soil analysis									
Sites	Crop plants	pH	E.C. ds.m^{-1}	O.C %	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K$^+$ Kg/ha	Na^{++} Meq/lit	CaCo$_3$ %
Katewadi	Wheat	8.27	0.35	0.78	187.00	8.80	513.00	4.74	19.89
	Jowar	7.82	0.60	0.66	159.00	4.40	455.00	2.60	12.24

Pandare	Wheat	7.63	0.22	0.69	166.00	7.77	460.00	3.26	5.10
	Jowar	8.12	0.42	0.78	187.00	4.44	728.00	3.76	10.20
Vadgaon Nimbalkar	Wheat	8.4	0.97	0.6	144.00	4.20	449.00	6.69	12.75
	Maize	8.73	0.62	0.93	224	4.4	311	9.25	12.75

Less water reservoir sites soil analysis

Sites	Crop plants	pH	E.C. ds.m^{-1}	O. C. %	N Kg/ha	P Kg/ha	K$^+$ Kg/ha	Na^{++} Meq/lit	CaCo$_3$ %
Sawal	Wheat	8.12	0.47	0.72	173.00	8.19	750.00	4.54	14.79
	Jowar	8.25	1.26	0.36	86.76	6.41	470.00	7.19	16.83
Parawadi	Wheat	8.83	0.56	0.54	130.00	5.11	464.00	9.99	19.38
	Jowar	7.97	1.05	0.63	151.00	5.19	1253.00	10.17	13.77
Supa	Wheat	8.14	0.50	0.36	86.76	6.20	1260.00	4.35	9.18
	Jowar	8.31	0.24	0.27	65.07	7.19	381.00	2.51	8.67
Murti	Wheat	8.05	0.75	0.84	202.00	6.19	1587.00	4.16	6.12
	Jowar	7.96	0.94	0.69	166.00	6.30	1864.00	2.79	3.57
Lonibhapkar	Wheat	7.99	0.49	0.84	202.00	5.30	660.00	4.52	4.08
	Jowar	8.16	0.59	0.96	231.00	6.30	669.00	4.23	9.69
Waki	Wheat	8.20	0.87	0.63	151.00	4.40	517.00	6.86	22.44
	Jowar	8.02	0.91	0.72	173.00	4.40	398.00	5.03	19.38

TABLE 2: **Micro nutrient analysis.**

Nira river sites soil analysis					
Sites	**Crop plants**	**Micro elements**			
		Fe (PPM)	Mn (PPM)	Zn (PPM)	Cu (PPM)
Kambleshwar	Wheat	0.45	0.09	0.01	0.09
	Jowar	0.58	0.21	0.03	0.07
Songaon	Wheat	0.94	0.17	0.03	0.16
	Jowar	0.56	0.13	0.02	0.11
Korhale (KH)	Wheat	0.86	0.22	0.06	0.18
	Maize	0.29	0.21	0.02	0.16
karha river sites soil analysis					
Sites	**Crop plants**	**Microelements**			
		Fe (PPM)	Mn (PPM)	Zn (PPM)	Cu (PPM)
Morgaon	Wheat	0.41	0.12	0.01	0.06
	Jowar	0.28	0.14	0.02	0.06
Anjangaon	Wheat	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.14
	Jowar	0.36	0.16	0.01	0.07
Gunawadi	Wheat	0.33	0.41	0.02	0.08
	Jowar	0.27	0.04	0.02	0.03
Nira left canal soil analysis					
Sites	**Crop**	**Micro elements**			

	plants	Fe (PPM)	Mn (PPM)	Zn (PPM)	Cu (PPM)
Katewadi	Wheat	0.76	0.13	0.02	0.19
	Jowar	0.57	0.38	0.04	0.09
Pandare	Wheat	0.67	0.13	0.03	0.12
	Jowar	0.56	0.21	0.01	0.12
Vadgaon-Nimbalkar	Wheat	0.72	0.22	0.03	0.15
	Maize	0.85	0.26	0.05	0.16
Less water reservoir soil analysis					
Sites	**Crop plants**	**Micro elements**			
		Fe (PPM)	Mn (PPM)	Zn (PPM)	Cu (PPM)
Sawal	Wheat	0.47	0.10	0.02	0.06
	Jowar	0.61	0.22	0.09	0.10
Parawadi	Wheat	0.31	0.18	0.08	0.05
	Jowar	0.52	0.60	0.03	0.06
Supa	Wheat	0.30	0.15	0.02	0.07
	Jowar	0.37	0.07	0.02	0.02
Murti	Wheat	0.70	0.23	0.02	0.17
	Jowar	0.47	0.23	0.03	0.10
Loni-bhapkar	Wheat	0.27	0.09	0.02	0.08
	Jowar	0.28	0.18	0.01	0.07
Waki	Wheat	0.52	0.36	0.02	0.08
	Jowar	0.34	0.10	0.01	0.07

FIG. 2. **pH in different sites.**

FIG. 3. **Electrical conductivity.**

FIG. 4. **Organic carbon.**

FIG. 5. **Nitrogen analysis.**

FIG. 6. **Phosphorus analysis.**


FIG. 7. **Potassium analysis.**


FIG. 8. **Sodium analysis.**

FIG. 9. **Calcium chloride of analysis.**

Results and Discussion

In the present investigation study focus on the physicochemical analysis of a soil from crop fields in Baramati Tehsil region. During study preferred to investigate the soil samples for its physicochemical analysis of some parameters [10]. Total 30 soil samples collected from 15 sites during December 2020 to February 2021. Physicochemical characteristics of soil were assessed by laboratory analysis. A physicochemical study of soil is based on various parameters like soil pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Organic Carbon (OC), available Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Sodium (Na), Calcium Carbonate ($CaCO_3$) and micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn). Soil samples were analyzed for their physicochemical properties and their results were represented in Table 1, however, micronutrient analysis results represented in Table 2. All site soil samples in crop fields pH shows moderately alkaline to strong alkaline [11]. Organic carbon fluctuate ranges from $\geq 1.00 \leq 0.81\text{-}1.00 \leq 0.51\text{-}0.80 \leq 0.41\text{-}0.50 \leq 0.21$ to 0.40. Nitrogen content shows $\geq 140\text{-}280 \geq 140$, phosphorus content shows $\leq 8\text{-}14$, ≤ 7.00, kg/hector, potassium content ≥ 300 kg/hector shows all sites abundance in amount. All sites crop field's soils shows luxuriant amount of sodium content. $CaCO_3$ content shows medium to abundance in quantity in all sites. In case of micronutrients analysis shows as low ranges of Fe $\geq$ Mn $\geq$ Zn $\geq$ Cu in ppm all sites crop fields soil samples.

Conclusion

In this study soil physicochemical characteristics were assessed by laboratory analysis. Different locality maximum site crop field's soil samples show average electrical conductivity some sites soil samples show more than average electrical conductivity. In modern agriculture excess use of chemical fertilizers affects the pH, EC, Organic carbon, N, P, K, Na and $CaCO_3$. More than average 1.00 Electrical conductivity which are harmful for germination. Due to overdose of chemical fertilizers its affects soil fertility resulted to decreases crop yields production.

Acknowledgement

References

1. Bharambe PR, Ghonsikar CP. Fertility status of soils in Jayakwadi Command. Maharashtra Agric Univ. 1984;9(3):326-327.
2. Bharambe PR, Ghonsikar CP. Physicochemical characteristics of soils in Jayakwadi Command. J Maharashtra Agric Univ.1985;10:247-249.
3. Bhattacharya T, Deshmukh SN, Roychaudhary C, et al. Soils and land use of Junnar Tahasil, Pune district, Maharashtra. J Maharashtra Agric. 1989;14(1):1-4.

4. Carvalhais N. Global covariation of carbon turnover times with climate in terrestrial ecosystems. Nature. 2014;514(7521):213-217.
5. Nisha C, Kamlesh. Analysis of soil samples for its physicochemical parameters from kadi city. New Int Ref J. 2017;3:36-40.
6. Doran JW, Zeiss MR. Soil health and sustainability: Managing the biotic component of soil quality. Appl Soil Ecol. 2000;15(1):3-11.
7. Lal R. Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. Sci. 2004;304(5677):1623-1627.
8. Oyeyiola GP, Agbaje AB. Physicochemical analysis of a soil near microbiology laboratory at the University of Ilorin, main campus. J Nat Sci Res. 2013;3(6):78-81.
9. Quinton JN, Govers G, Van Oost K, et al. The impact of agricultural soil erosion on biogeochemical cycling. Nat Geosci. 2010;3(5): 311-314.
10. Yeshpal S. Physicochemical properties of soil, influenced by different levels of NPK, FYM and Sulphur in mustard moong cropping sequence. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(12):4187-4195.
11. Walkely A, Black I. An examination of the degtjareff method for determining organic carbon in soils: effect of variations in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. Soil Sci.1934;63:251-264.